

Peter J. Hammer, 07:03 AM 10/19/2001, Re: outline

X-Sender: hammerp@h.imap.itd.umich.edu
X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Version 4.3.2
Date: Fri, 19 Oct 2001 08:03:26 -0400
To: Rob Howse <rhowse@umich.edu>
From: "Peter J. Hammer" <hammerp@umich.edu>
Subject: Re: outline

Monday at 2:00 would be fine. Enjoy your time in Toronto.

Peter

At 04:47 AM 10/19/01 -0400, you wrote:
thanks, Peter.

In terms of your input, we will simply begin from the new list, as you suggest.

Also, it will be very useful to hear when we speak about your different views about the work and its place in the academy.

would sometime around 2 on Monday be possible to meet? If not what about Tues a.m?

rob

At 07:41 AM 10/19/2001 -0400, you wrote:
Rob,

Thanks for the message. I will prepare a list of potential outside reviewers for your consideration. My views about my work and its place in the academy are very different now than they were two years ago. At least in terms of my input into the process, I would appreciate it if the old list were simply discarded. I look forward to meeting with you next week.

Peter

At 06:50 AM 10/18/01 -0400, you wrote:
Hi! Peter First of all I'm sorry to miss your I and e workshop today--I'm at a conference in Toronto.

Second, as you probably are aware I'm chair of the tenure cttee this year and I'm starting to get the cttee organized to review your file. We should speak sometime soon. But to get us going it would be helpful if you could email to me a list of external people who would be appropriate for us to ask to review your writing, as well as any names that you would rather we not ask. This list can obviously overlap with the one you would have provided a couple of years ago, but could also contain other or additional names.

I'm assuming that you are familiar with the basics of the process, but if you have any questions about what we are going to be doing in the next months please let me and/or Jeff know.

I'll mail you soon to set up a time next week.

very best,

rob


At 02:05 PM 6/18/2001 -0400, you wrote:

Rob,

I have written what is really a talking outline for an article on what I call the private side of patient protection in managed care. Would you have time in the next few weeks to take a look at it and meet for lunch or coffee to talk it over? Thanks.

Peter

Peter J. Hammer, 03:42 PM 10/19/2001, Outside Reviewers



X-Sender: hammerp@h.lmap.ltd.umich.edu
X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Version 4.3.2
Date: Fri, 19 Oct 2001 16:42:08 -0400
To: rhowse@umich.edu
From: "Peter J. Hammer" <hammerp@umich.edu>
Subject: Outside Reviewers

Rob,

Attached is a discussion of possible outside reviewers.

Peter



Tenure-list.wpd

MEMORANDUM

Date: October, 19 2001
To: Tenure Committee
From: Peter J. Hammer
Re: Recommended List of Outside Reviewers

The best law school reviewers of my work are those that specialize in medical antitrust law who are also sophisticated about contemporary health policy problems. Unquestionably, the best people in this regard are Clark Havighust (Duke Law School) and Jim Blumstein (Vanderbilt Law School). There is probably no one in the country who is more familiar with the medical antitrust case law or is a more informed critic of enforcement agency conduct than Tim Greaney (St. Louis University Law School). Other recognized experts in the field of health care antitrust would include Fran Miller (Boston University School of Law) and Michael Jacobs (DePaul University Law School).

The best antitrust law generalists to evaluate the work would probably be Herbert Hovenkamp (University of Iowa College of Law) or Dan Rubinfeld (UC Berkeley Law School).

The best reviewers who specialize in health law/policy questions inside of law schools who also have substantial background and familiarity with the health services research literature would be Gregg Bloche (Georgetown Law Center), Mark Hall (Wake Forest University Law School) and Tim Jost (formerly at Ohio State University Law School and now for family reasons at College of William and Mary School of Law). Of course, I would put my colleague Bill Sage (Columbia Law School) high on any such list if I were simply asked to list the best people in the country writing on these topics.

A large portion of my work has a cross over audience in academic health policy circles outside of law schools. If the committee were inclined to seek reviews of this nature, persons who could assess the work in relation to contemporary health policy studies would include Ted Marmor (Yale University School of Management) and Mark Peterson (UCLA School of Public Policy and Social Research, and two-term Editor-In-Chief of the Journal of Health Politics, Policy and Law). Within these same circles, two very good health care economists who have specialized in the study of medical antitrust problems would be Gloria Bazzoli (Virginia Commonwealth University) and Deborah Haas-Wilson (Smith College).

I have a strong opinion that any one asked to review either the introduction to the Arrow volume that I co-edited, or my essay within it should be given a copy of the entire volume so the work can be read in its broader context. It may also be appropriate to ask some one to review my work in the volume, as well as the volume as a whole, in terms of its contribution to understandings of contemporary health economics. Either Victor Fuchs (Economics, Stanford University) or Alain Enthoven (Graduate School of Business, Stanford University) would be possible candidates. Mark Pauly (University of Pennsylvania) may also be a candidate, although writing the foreword to the volume may well disqualify him.

While not in the nature of outside reviews, I think that it would be appropriate for the Committee to develop an understanding and appreciation of the work I have done with the RWJ Health Policy Investigator Award Program. James House (Sociology, University of Michigan) and Joel Howell (Internal Medicine and History, University of Michigan) are both former Award recipients who have been actively involved in the Program and can speak about the reputation and value of the project. Alvin Tarlov (Director, Texas Institute for Society and Population Health, Rice University) is the former Director of the Program and now Chairs its National Advisory Committee. I have had extensive interactions with Al and he would be able to give an assessment of my work to date with the foundation. David Mechanic (Rutgers University) is the current Director of the Program.

Finally, I have had extensive contact with persons in the Health Management and Policy Department of the School of Public Health at the University of Michigan. I have attended and presented at their seminars, sat on their personnel search committees, and worked on their dissertation committees. Jack Wheeler and Jeff Alexander could discuss the administrative-type work I have done with members of the Department. The people I have had closest substantive interaction with on issues of common scholarly interest would be Peter Jacobson (Health Law/Policy), Catherine McLaughlin (Health Economics), Michael Chernew (Health Economics) and Richard Hirth (Health Economics). These are not necessarily people who should be viewed as outside reviewers, but they constitute a substantive set of colleagues I have worked with at the University, in a manner that I have not, and perhaps could not, with members of my own faculty.

P.J.H