


To: jlehman@umich.edu
From: Evan Caminker <caminker@umich.edu>
Subject: Fwd: Re: GRB Response to November 25 Memorandum
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Eudora-Signature: <evan>



I would say something like this in response (and I'm adding a second thought based on a quick conversation with Sharporn):

I have also received the Board's December 6 memo. I have some concerns about the Board's new proposal to submit questions to the General Counsel's Office that deal with the merits of the specific grievances, both because it raises obvious conflict-of-interest issues and because input about the merits of particular grievances is not the kind of "advice" that the Procedures contemplate (as opposed to advice about procedural issues, such as how many witnesses may a party reasonably insist on calling at an evidentiary hearing).

Peter and I already have a meeting scheduled for early next week. I suggest that Peter and I discuss this issue in the first instance, and then we can proceed accordingly.

Jeff

X-Sender: jlehman@j.imap.itd.umich.edu
X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Version 5.1
Date: Mon, 09 Dec 2002 09:48:07 -0500
To: caminker@umich.edu
From: "Jeffrey S. Lehman" <jlehman@umich.edu>
Subject: Fwd: Re: GRB Response to November 25 Memorandum

X-Sender: hammerp@h.imap.itd.umich.edu
X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Version 4.3.2
Date: Mon, 09 Dec 2002 08:30:55 -0500
To: "Edward H. Cooper" <coopere@umich.edu>, jlehman@umich.edu
From: "Peter J. Hammer" <hammerp@umich.edu>
Subject: Re: GRB Response to November 25 Memorandum
Cc: rebecca Eisenberg <rse@umich.edu>, pgurin@umich.edu

Dear Members of the Grievance Review Board,

I am in receipt of the GRB's December 6, 2002, Response. I am confident that Dean Lehman and myself will be able "to agree on a means of submitting all remaining points of disclosure disagreement to General Counsel for advice," and that it will not be necessary to have the GRB meet to address the matter. I will wait and take my cue from Jeff as to how he would like to proceed.

I would like clarification from the GRB on one point. On page 3 of my November 25th Memorandum, I asked the GRB to seek advice from the General Counsel's Office on

whether there were any due process considerations raised by the GRB's proposed interpretation of the Law School's Grievance Procedures. This issue is not addressed in the December 6th Response. Is my understanding correct that the GRB does not want to be advised on these matters?

Peter Hammer

At 02:41 PM 12/6/02 -0500, Edward H. Cooper wrote:
Dear Professor Hammer & Dean Lehman,

A copy of the Grievance Review Board's response to Professor Hammer's November 25 Memorandum will be delivered to each of you in a few minutes. The attachment is in WordPerfect 5.1. It is provided with the thought that if your equipment can decipher that format, there may be some convenience in having the computer-workable form. -- Edward H. Cooper

Jeffrey S. Lehman

The University of Michigan
Law School
324 Hutchins Hall
Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1215

Voice: 734-764-0514
Fax: 734-763-1055
Video: 734-647-9948/9949
Video/IP: 141.211.46.201

Evan Caminker
Associate Dean for Academic Affairs and Professor
University of Michigan Law School
625 South State Street, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1215
ph: 734-763-5695; fax: 734-763-7415

UM 3254